

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILI	NG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/843,686	04/	/30/2001	Wolfgang Stripf	1454.1059-C	3446
21171	7590	05/13/2004		EXAMINER	
STAAS &	HALSEY I	LLP	BANANKHAH, MAJID A		
SUITE 700 1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W.				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20005				2127	
				DATE MAILED: 05/13/200/	•

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
4.	09/843,686	STRIPF ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Majid A Banankhah	2127					
- The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timy within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12-3	<u>1</u> .						
·	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under E	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 12-31 is/are pending in the application	n.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	epted or b) $\square$ objected to by the ${ t E}$	Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents		-(d) or (f).					
2.⊠ Certified copies of the priority document		on No. 09/101,611.					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	` `						
application from the International Bureau		· ·					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	d.					
Amarkananta							
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO_413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	te					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3.	5)  Notice of Informal P	atent Application (PTO-152)					
S. Patent and Trademark Office		<del></del>					

. 07/045,000

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This office action is in response to application filed on April 30, 2001. Claims 12-31 are considered for examination.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 12, 21, 24, 29, and 31 recites the limitation "the program" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. Claims 13-16, 22-23, 25-28, and 30 are rejected for the rejection of their parent claim. Additionally, claim 12 in line 10 recites "the software function lock object". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 13, recites "the interrupt control processing of the control program" in line 19-20. there is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation.

Claim 29 recites "the software function block object" in line 11-12. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation.

Claims 17-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/843,686

Art Unit: 2127

Claim 17 is indefinite because in lines 7-9, the programming unit send the software control block to the programmable controller over the Internet, however in lines 10-11, the software control block may be sent to the programming unit after the step of acting on the software control block by the programming unit. Additionally, the claim is vague because, in the preamble, the claim is directed to "programming unit for creating software control block", here however, the software control block is sent to the programming unit. Claims 18-20 are rejected for the rejection of their parent claim.

Claim 21, recite "the Internet communication interface" in line 11. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation. Additionally, in line 12, recite "operating and monitoring software block and/or process quantities", which is indefinite. It is unclear what software quantity is meant to be. Is it referring to a software block or to something else. Claims 22-23 are rejected for the rejection of their parent claim.

## **Double Patenting**

3. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Application/Control Number: 09/843,686

Art Unit: 2127

4.

Claims 12-31 of the present application are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-12 of U.S. Patent No. 6,263,487 (hereinafter '487'). Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because of the following reasons. For example, in claim 12 of the present application, the first five lines of the claim are literally the preamble of the claim 1 of the Patent '487' respectively. The software function execution system recited in last paragraph of claim 12 in the present application is same as the software function block recited in claim 1, column 6, lines 29 of the Patent '487', except the detail of the function block is not in claim of the present application. The detail of the software function block

(recited in claim 1 of the application) is in claim 13 (dependent from claim 12) of present

the invention was made to use less sub-steps in order to expedite the process or method.

application. In other words, claim 12 in the present application is broader than claim 1 in the

Patent '487'. Therefore, it would have been obvious for one ordinary skill in the art at the time

- 5. Claims 12-31 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action.
- The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's 6. disclosure.

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 09/843,686

Art Unit: 2127

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the 7.

examiner should be directed to Majid A. Banankhah whose voice telephone number is (703)

308-6903. A voice mail service is also available at this number.

All response sent to U.S. Mail should be mailed to:

**Commissioner of Patent and Trademarks** 

Washington, D.C. 20231

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park Two, 2021 Crystal

Drive, Arlington. VA, Six Floor (Receptionist). All hand-delivered responses will be handled

and entered by the docketing personnel. Please do not hand deliver responses to the Examiner.

All Formal or Official Faxes must be signed and sent to either (703) 308-9051 or

(703) 308-9052. Official faxes will be handled and entered by the docketing personnel. The date

of entry will correspond to the actual FAX reception date unless that date is a Saturday, Sunday,

or a Federal Holiday within the District of Columbia, in which case the official date of receipt

will be the next business day. The application file will be promptly forwarded to the Examiner

unless the application file must be sent to another area of the office, e.g., Finance Division for

fee charging, etc.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be

directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-9600.

Maid Banankhah

5/11/04